

Lumbar Spinal Stenosis

Recommendations of WFNS Spine Committee 2019

Recommendations for Natural Course and Diagnosis of Lumbar Spinal Stenosis

- * Approximately 30% of patients with lumbar spinal stenosis (LSS) are expected to worsen, but 30% may improve with conservative measures.
- * There are predictive sign/symptoms that they will worsen:
 - *Dural sac cross-sectional area <50 mm²
 - *Presence of radicular symptoms and back pain
 - *Presence of degenerative spondylolisthesis and/or scoliosis
 - *Illness duration >1 year
- * MRI is the most appropriate noninvasive test for the diagnosis of LSS and the second is CT scan. CT myelography is appropriate if MRI is contraindicated or inconclusive.
- * There is not a correlation between clinical symptoms or function with the presence of anatomic narrowing of the spinal canal on MRI, CT or myelo-CT.
- * Qualitative radiologic criteria describe adequately spinal stenosis in central, lateral or foraminal stenosis.
- * There are some radiological signs that describes instability.
 - *Direct signs on functional radiograms.
 - *Indirect signs on MRI and CT such as Modic changes, end plate edema, extended discal vacuum, traction spurs, synovial cysts, annular tears, spondylolisthesis, “facet fluid sign”.
- * Routine electrophysiological tests (EMG, nerve conduction study, F-wave response, H-reflex, SEP, MEP) have no diagnostic value for LSS.
- * Electrophysiological tests do not predict outcome of patients with LSS.

Recommendations for Conservative Treatment or Follow-up for LSS

- * If the clinical condition is not severe, a conservative approach based on at least 3 weeks of therapeutic exercise may be the first therapeutic choice.
- * Medical/interventional treatment should be preferred to surgical treatment in spinal stenosis with mild symptoms.
- * Physical therapy should consist of multimodal approaches.
- * There is insufficient evidence to make a recommendation for the use of other physical therapy interventions (aquatic therapy, acupuncture, psychosocial intervention, transcutaneous tibial nerve stimulation, neural mobilization).
- * If conservative treatment is chosen, surgery should be considered, in case the clinical condition does not change in 3 months.
- * There is no consensus if some factors can help us to advise a conservative treatment and the type of conservative treatment.

- * There are some cases in which an immediate surgical treatment should be indicated.
- * There is no consensus if 3 or more months of conservative treatment should be applied before Surgery.

Recommendations for Percutaneous Techniques for LSS

- * There is no consensus for the value of facet joint injections for treatment of low back pain.
- * Facet joint injections provide a useful diagnostic tool for low back pain.
- * There is no consensus if facet/ medial branch nerve ablation should be performed when diagnostic facet joint injection is effective.
- * The literature support a short- to intermediate-term benefits of the epidural injections for the symptomatic treatment of LSS.
- * The inclusion of steroids do not seem to confer a benefit compared to local anesthetic alone in epidural injections for the symptomatic treatment of LSS.
- * For patients with symptomatic relief of less than 3 months after epidural injections, proceeding with further injections is not recommended.

Recommendations for Decompressive Surgery for LSS

- * Surgical decompression is an effective option in patients with moderate to severe symptoms.
- * There is no consensus whether microscopic techniques are equal to standard laminectomy to achieve adequate spinal canal decompression.
- * There is no consensus whether unilateral laminotomy with bilateral decompression or bilateral laminotomy are not inferior to standard laminectomy for treatment of LSS.
- * Minimally invasive surgery (MIS) has some advantages over open decompression for early clinical outcomes (blood losses, wound pain and hospital stay).
- * MIS is associated with lower complication rates than open approach
- * There is no consensus if MIS is a more cost-effective technique than open laminectomy.
- * There is no consensus for overall complication rate and reoperation rates for lumbar decompressive surgery.
- * Cardiopulmonary complications and stroke in this elderly population occur in about 2% and mortality is 0.5%
- * New neurologic injury and postoperative hematoma after decompressive surgery are rare ($\approx 1\%$).
- * Incidental durotomy is common (almost 10%) and depends on established risk factors and has only a minor effect on outcome.

Recommendations for Fusion Surgery for LSS

- * In patients with LSS and no sign or symptoms of instability and predominant leg pain, decompression alone is recommended
- * In patients with stenosis and stable spondylolisthesis, fusion is not mandatory and decompression alone is suggested.

- * Unstable spondylolisthesis with symptoms may require fusion
- * There is no consensus if the main complaint is mechanical axial low back pain, more than leg pain, the patient may benefit from a fusion Surgery.
- * Patients with LSS and loss of sagittal balance, if symptomatic, may benefit from decompression, fixation and deformity correction surgery
- * In patients, who underwent bilateral facetectomy more than 50% and bilateral discectomy, fusion may be advisable
- * Facet joint effusion alone is not proven to correlate with stability

Recommendations for Mobility Preserving Surgeries for LSS

- * Decompression is the basis of surgical treatment of LSS.
- * Fusion is an option especially when spondylolisthesis or instability are present, but indications remain controversial.
- * Adjacent Segment Disease (ASD) incidence reports display high variability. It may be rare with single level fusion or in patients with minimal degenerative disease.
 - * Floating L4-L5 fusion
 - * Poor sagittal balance
 - * Multilevel fusion may be associated with more ASD
- * Dynamic fixation constructs are treatment options that may help to prevent ASD.